

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/044,997	01/10/2002	Craig H. Becker	AUS920010712US1 2738	
75	90 09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
Frank C. Nicholas CARDINAL LAW GROUP			TIV, BACKHEAN	
Suite 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1603 Orrington Avenue			2151	
Evanston, IL 60201			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/044,997	BECKER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Backhean Tiv	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		IKST KEPLT WAS FILED	WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a on, even if timely filed, may	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	f the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s).	anowable if subfinited in a separate	, thricly med different	on ouncoming			
7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.) □ will not be entered, or b) ☑ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence i	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below of attac	neu.			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	-No(s)				
13. Other:						
Som Wang						
	ZAF	INI MAUNG				
	SUPERVISOR	Y PATENT EXAMIN	IER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The statement submitted in the Remarks, is in an unclear and vague manner.

The applied reference, US Publication 2002/0073204 issued to Dutta et al., has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).